



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,124	09/26/2003	Hidetoshi Inoko	ORIN-003CIP	2646	
24353	7590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER		
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			O'FARRELL, THOMAS JOHN		
1900 UNIVE SUITE 200	ERSITY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST PALO	ALTO, CA 94303		1634		

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summany		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/674,124	4	INOKO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Thomas J.	l l	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>26 September 20</u>	003.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	nt(s)							
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- er No(s)/Mail Date	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4, drawn to methods of gene mapping, classified in class 435, subclass 91.2. This group is subject to further restriction (see below).
 - Claims 5-7, drawn to computer-readable mediums, classified in class 711, subclass 4.
 - III. Claim 8, drawn to oligonucleotide primers, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3.
 - IV. Claims 9-12, drawn to methods of assessing susceptibility of a human subject to psoriasis vulgaris, classified in class 435, subclass 6. This group is subject to further restriction (see below).
- 2. Groups 1 and 4 are subject to further restriction. Regarding group 1, the applicant is required to elect a specific combination of SEQ ID NO:'s 1-27088 for the examination of claim 3. Claims 1, 2, and 4 will not be limited by the election made for claim 3. Regarding group 4, the applicant is required to elect a specific allele from those recited in claim 9 for the examination of group 4. Any claim not drawn to the elected allele will be withdrawn from consideration.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/674,124

Art Unit: 1634

3. Inventions of group 3 and 1 are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotide products of group 3 can be used to encode polypeptides, which are not required to practice the methods of group 1. The search for each group presents a serious search burden as the searches for each are not coextensive in scope. Art relating to methods of amplification with polynucleotide primers would not necessarily provide descriptive structural information on the polynucleotide itself, and vice versa.

Page 3

4. Inventions group 2 are unrelated to the inventions of groups 1, 3, and 4. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. The inventions of group 2 are drawn to computer readable mediums, while the inventions of groups 1, 3, and 4 are drawn to gene mapping methods (group 1), actual polynucleotides (group 3), and methods of assessing susceptibility of a human subject to psoriasis vulgaris (group 4). Therefore, the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,124

Art Unit: 1634

5. Inventions of groups 3 and 4 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. The inventions of group 3 are drawn to oligonucleotide primers while the inventions of group 4 are drawn to methods of assessing susceptibility of a human subject to psoriasis vulgaris. Therefore, the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Page 4

6. Inventions of groups 1 and 4 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. The inventions of group 1 are drawn to methods of gene mapping comprising collecting samples from test subjects, amplifying polymorphic regions, and statistically comparing the DNA fragments obtained from the test subject with those of control subjects. The inventions of group 4 involve methods of assessing susceptibility of a human subject to psoriasis vulgaris comprising analyzing the region C1_2_6 to C2_4_4 for the presence of alleles associated with psoriasis vulgaris. Therefore, the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Page 5

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy. Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain

Art Unit: 1634

dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/674,124

Art Unit: 1634

Page 7

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 13.

examiner should be directed to Thomas O'Farrell whose telephone number is (571)

272-8782. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272-0745. The fax phone number for

this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Examiner

Art Unit 1634

10/3/05